

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,076	09/09/2003	Robert Hickling	7674.3	1401
7590 06/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert Hickling 8306 Huntington Road			LOBO, IAN J	
Huntington Woods, MI 48070			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
· * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/658,076 Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Ian J. Lobo					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	cars on the tover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> :					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO_413)				
 2) Notice of Practice Scient (170-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/9/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
	-,					

Application/Control Number: 10/658,076

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the patent to Guigne ('311).
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the patent to Guigne ('311).

Guigne discloses an acoustic apparatus that includes an array of acoustic probes (see Figs. 1 and 2) near the surface of the ground, a source of intense sound pulses (112) of short duration for penetrating the porous structure of the ground, the probes in the array are connected to a multi-channel data acquisition system (185-187) for rapid conversion of analog to digital form and for temporary data storage. The multi-channel system provides input to a digital signal processor (162), the processor connected to a device (178) for outputting the results of the computations. Note that the processor

controls both the source of pulsed sound and the multi-channel data acquisition system. Claim 1 is so anticipated.

Claims 2, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are further anticipated by the Guigne apparatus.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the patent to Wilk (214).

Wilk discloses an acoustic apparatus that includes an array of acoustic probes (see Fig. 10) near the surface of the ground, a source of intense sound pulses (30) of short duration for penetrating the porous structure of the ground, the probes in the array are connected to a multi-channel data acquisition system (34, 36) for rapid conversion of analog to digital form and for temporary data storage. The multi-channel system provides input to a digital signal processor (94), the processor connected to a device (58) for outputting the results of the computations. Note that the processor controls both the source of pulsed sound and the multi-channel data acquisition system. Claim 1 is so anticipated.

Dependent claims 2 and 5-9 are further provided by the Wilk apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,076

Art Unit: 3662

6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guigne ('311) or Wilk (214) when taken in view of Schaefer et al ('189) or Cannelli et al ('217).

Claim 3 differs from the above noted Wilk and Guigne apparatuses by claiming that the source of the pulsed sound is a "pyrotechnic system or a generator of electrical sparks". Wilk and Guigne each disclose piezoelectric generators.

Cannelli et al and Schaefer et al each teach the use of and advantages of electrical sparks as pulsed sound generators. Some of the advantages include omnidirectionality of the acoustic sound, mechanically robust and higher efficiency. Thus, in view of Cannelli et al and Schaefer et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the generators of Wilk or Guigne by substituting an electrical spark generator for the piezoelectric generators.

With respect to claim 4, see Schaefer et al, col. 2, lines 6-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for pulsed sound sources, does not reasonably provide enablement for pulsed sound sources strengthened by an acoustic reflector. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The structure of the claimed "reflector," which is claimed as a pulse sound strengthener, is not adequately disclosed in the instant specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,076

Art Unit: 3662

Conclusion

Page 5

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is noted that at this time the full translation of the PCT document is not available.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to lan J. Lobo whose telephone number is (703) 306-4161. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lan J. Lobo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662